

---

# Mobile Infrastructure Vendor Ratings and Product Features: Global Service Provider Survey

October 7, 2009

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

|  |    |
|--|----|
| TOP TAKEAWAYS .....  | 1  |
| METHODOLOGY AND DEMOGRAPHICS .....   | 2  |
| 80% of respondents have a lot of influence in the purchase decision .....    | 2  |
| 80% of respondents come from EMEA, the rest from Asia Pacific .....          | 3  |
| As a result, 90% of respondents have GSM/GPRS/EDGE networks .....            | 4  |
| 80% of respondents come from large incumbent operators .....                 | 5  |
| We have captured 14% of worldwide revenue and 12% of capex .....             | 6  |
| NOKIA SIEMENS NETWORKS, ERICSSON, AND HUAWEI ARE TOP 3 VENDORS .....         | 6  |
| FOUR CAPABILITIES ARE TIED FOR TOP VENDOR SELECTION CRITERION .....          | 7  |
| NOKIA SIEMENS NETWORKS IS THE MOST FAMILIAR VENDOR .....                     | 9  |
| Huawei outperforms its peers on price-to-performance ratio and pricing ..... | 11 |
| IMPORTANT EQUIPMENT FEATURES .....   | 12 |
| Cost effective coverage and capacity are top RAN features .....              | 12 |
| Reliability under high traffic is top mobile switching feature .....         | 15 |
| Evolution path to LTE is top mobile packet core feature .....                | 16 |
| High subscriber density and scalability is top HLR/ngHLR feature .....       | 17 |
| BOTTOM LINE .....  | 18 |

This is a paid service intended for the recipient organization only; reproduction and sharing with third parties is prohibited.

Copyright © 2009 by Infonetics Research, Inc. All rights reserved.

LIST OF EXHIBITS

EXHIBIT 1      RESPONDENT INFLUENCE .....2

EXHIBIT 2      REGIONAL DISTRIBUTION OF RESPONDENTS .....3

EXHIBIT 3      WIRELESS NETWORKS DE PLOYED .....4

EXHIBIT 4      RESPONDENT SERVICE PROVIDER TYPES .....5

EXHIBIT 5      RESPONDENTS REPRESENT 12% OF 2008 SERVICE PROVIDER CAPEX AND 14% OF  
REVENUE .....6

EXHIBIT 6      TOP VENDORS .....7

EXHIBIT 7      VENDOR SELECTION CRITERIA .....8

EXHIBIT 8      VENDOR FAMILIARITY .....10

EXHIBIT 9      VENDOR RATINGS .....12

EXHIBIT 10      RAN EQUIPMENT FEATURES .....14

EXHIBIT 11      MOBILE SWITCHING EQUIPMENT FEATURES .....15

EXHIBIT 12      MOBILE PACKET CORE EQUIPMENT FEATURES .....16

EXHIBIT 13      HLR/NGHLR EQUIPMENT FEATURES .....18

This is a paid service intended for the recipient organization only; reproduction and sharing with third parties is prohibited.

Copyright © 2009 by Infonetics Research, Inc. All rights reserved.

## METHODOLOGY AND DEMOGRAPHICS


In July through September 2009, 10 service providers responded to our online survey. To qualify, respondent organizations must currently operate a wireless network, and respondents must have detailed knowledge of their companies' mobile infrastructure equipment.

### ***80% of respondents have a lot of influence in the purchase decision***

Respondents are also required to have at least some influence in the purchase decision. The majority of respondents (90%) are the primary decision-maker or have a lot of influence. This is a key part of the screening process because it ensures that we're talking to people who are a key part of the buying process.

**Exhibit 1**

**Respondent Influence  
n=10**



This is a paid service intended for the recipient organization only; reproduction and sharing with third parties is prohibited.


Copyright © 2009 by Infonetics Research, Inc. All rights reserved.

***80% of respondents come from EMEA, the rest from Asia Pacific***

None of the North American players took the survey. There are three main reasons for that. First, there are not many significantly sized mobile operators left in North America: Verizon Wireless, AT&T Mobility, Sprint, T-Mobile USA, Bell Canada, Telus, and Rogers Wireless (listed in order of highest to lowest revenue). Second, all of them but T-Mobile are in the process of selecting LTE vendors and quickly declined the survey. Third, smaller operators such as Centennial Wireless, CenturyTel, Leap Wireless, MetroPCS, and US Cellular declined also, claiming they had limited resources for this effort.

**Exhibit 2**

**Regional Distribution of Respondents  
n=10**




This is a paid service intended for the recipient organization only; reproduction and sharing with third parties is prohibited.

Copyright © 2009 by Infonetics Research, Inc. All rights reserved.

***As a result, 90% of respondents have GSM/GPRS/EDGE networks***

Although we targeted a large spectrum of mobile operators, the heavy EMEA representation in our sample leads to there being an overwhelming majority of GSM operators, which in turn leads to 70% of them being from the 3GPP camp. Twenty percent of respondents are from the 3GPP2 camp, and a few of them also operate fixed WiMAX and mobile WiMAX networks. Typically, a large global operator such as Vodafone may roll out and operate WiMAX networks in greenfield operations. The CDMA operators are from Asia Pacific.

**Exhibit 3****Wireless Networks Deployed  
n=10**

This is a paid service intended for the recipient organization only; reproduction and sharing with third parties is prohibited.


Copyright © 2009 by Infonetics Research, Inc. All rights reserved.

***80% of respondents come from large incumbent operators***

This is again a result of the EMEA club because everywhere you go across EMEA, large incumbents from western Europe have a presence through subsidiaries or stakes.

**Exhibit 4**

**Respondent Service Provider Types  
n=10**




This is a paid service intended for the recipient organization only; reproduction and sharing with third parties is prohibited.

Copyright © 2009 by Infonetics Research, Inc. All rights reserved.

***We have captured 14% of worldwide revenue and 12% of capex***

The respondents in this study represent 14% of worldwide revenue and 12% of capex in our *Service Provider Capex, Opex, ARPU, and Subscribers* report. These numbers are lower than they would be if large North America Tier 1 and Tier 2 service providers were among our respondents (as mentioned above, almost all of them are in the process of selecting vendors for current infrastructure upgrades and LTE and declined to participate).

**Exhibit 5 Respondents Represent 12% of 2008 Service Provider Capex and 14% of Revenue n=10**



*Source: Service Provider Capex, Opex, ARPU, and Subscribers By-Provider Actuals and Short-Term Forecast, Worldwide, 8/25/09*

This is a paid service intended for the recipient organization only; reproduction and sharing with third parties is prohibited.

Copyright © 2009 by Infonetics Research, Inc. All rights reserved.